

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/661,477

Applicant(s)

SUGITA ET AL.

Examiner

Nikolas J. Uhler

Art Unit

1773

## All Participants:

(1) Nikolas J. Uhler.

(2) Kevin Barner.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 October 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All cited*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*All cited*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicants representative to discuss a proposed amendment. Specifically, the examiner proposed adding the limitations of claim 8 to claim 1 and the limitations of claim 13 to claim 10. Further, the examiner suggested cancelling claim 12 to avoid a new matter issue. Finally, the examiner suggested that if the amendment were made that claims 8, 11 and 13 would also need to be cancelled because they would be redundant.